StyleFaceUV: A 3D Face UV Map Generator for View-Consistent Face Image Synthesis
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Abstract

Recent deep image generation models, such as StyleGAN2, face challenges to produce high-quality 2D face images with multi-view consistency. We address this issue by proposing an approach for generating detailed 3D faces using a pre-trained StyleGAN2 model. Our method estimates the 3D Morphable Model (3DMM) coefficients directly from the StyleGAN2’s stylecode. To add more details to the produced 3D face models, we train a generator to produce two UV maps: a diffuse map to give the model a more faithful appearance and a generalized displacement map to add geometric details to the model. To achieve multi-view consistency, we also add a symmetric view image to recover information regarding the invisible side of a single image. The generated detailed 3D face models allow for consistent changes in viewing angles, expressions, and lighting conditions. Experimental results indicate that our method outperforms previous approaches both qualitatively and quantitatively.

1 Introduction

Generative adversarial networks (GANs) have made significant advances in synthesizing high-quality realistic face images. Furthermore, some GANs offer flexibility in manipulating face attributes in images, since their latent spaces are highly correlated with various styles, including pose, gender, and age. However, despite the progress made, it remains challenging to maintain multi-view consistency of the face while manipulating it.

This paper attempts to convert StyleGAN2 [13] into a 3D face generator in order to provide direct and consistent control over faces across multiple views. We use the popular 3D Morphable Model as the base model. In the first step, we train a model for estimating 3DMM coefficients directly from a StyleGAN2 stylecode. This will allow our model to be
compatible with StyleGAN2 and reduce training efforts later. However, the 3DMM model cannot provide specific facial details. Therefore, the reconstructed image differs from the StyleGAN2 image in some respects. In order to improve the quality of the reconstructed image, we train a model that takes the stylecode as input and generates two UV maps that augment the details in order to make the reconstructed image resemble the detail in the StyleGAN2 image. The two maps are the diffuse map, which represents the face’s appearance, and the generalized displacement map, which provides geometric details. Moreover, since a single image can only cover one side of the face and cannot guarantee the face quality on the opposite side, our method generates an opposite view image of the face using StyleGAN2 to add additional constraints. By integrating information from both views, a consistent reconstruction of the face can be achieved.

The result is a 3D face generator that provides detailed textures and shapes. In addition, it is compatible with StyleGAN2. In other words, it generates a 3D model with the same identity as the image created by StyleGAN2 using the same stylecode. Our experiments demonstrate that our method outperforms other methods and is more controllable. Moreover, since our method generates 3D face models, it allows for applications requiring a number of 3D faces with different identities, such as passers-by in video games or visual effects.

2 Related work

Disentanglement of 2D GANs. GANs have demonstrated their ability to generate images of high quality. Despite their ability to produce high-quality images, many previous studies have focused on providing semantic control over images by disentangling the latent space of GANs. Jahanian et al. [10] found both linear and non-linear optimal paths in the latent space of GANs by minimizing an objective function. By identifying the principal components of the latent space, GANSpace [8] identifies important factors of variation. Voynov et al. [26] learned the meaningful direction by jointly training a set of orthogonal directions and a model to distinguish the corresponding image transformations. InterFaceGAN [21, 22] obtains the semantically meaningful directions in latent space using the normal vector of the latent codes classification hyperplane.

Parameterized face models. Parameterized 3D face models have become an active research field since Blanz and Vetter proposed the 3D Morphable Model [2] based on the parameterization of the scanned 3D face data. Tran et al. [25] utilized two decoders to map from the shape and texture parameters to the vertex positions and the texture coordinates to achieve better representations than the linear models. In order to achieve accurate and fast face reconstruction, Deng et al. [6] leveraged image-level and perception-level losses. Tewari et al. [24] proposed to control the synthesized face via rig-like controllers. Abdal et al. [1] proposed StyleFlow, which uses conditional continuous normalizing flow (CNF) to create an invertible mapping from a latent code and the tunable face attribute variables in the StyleGAN1/2 latent space.

StyleGANs for 3D face synthesis. StyleGANs [12] have been widely studied for their interpretability and disentanglement. In addition, their explainable latent spaces and high-quality generation also make them valuable tools for 3D synthesis. Zhang et al. [28] exploited StyleGAN as a multi-view image generator with pose-related latent codes to train the inverse graphic networks, but their work requires manual annotations for rough angles of view.
Pan et al. [18] first used a neural renderer to generate pseudo samples with various poses and lightings, then used these samples to guide the images generated by GANs toward the corresponding sampled poses and lighting conditions. Shi et al. [23] proposed LiftedGAN, a framework that maps a latent code of StyleGAN2 to various maps as representations of shape and appearance. However, using the depth map as the shape representation causes inevitable image distortion as the change of view angle grows. StyleUV [15] retrains the architecture of StyleGAN2 to produce texture maps. However, unlike us, they did not include a shape representation while generating faces. It does not obey the original latent space, either. Luo et al.’s method [16] synthesizes texture and shape maps by retraining StyleGAN2 but requires ground truth 3D geometries and albedo textures. Chan et al. [3, 4] used implicit radiance field as 3D-aware guidance for synthesizing realistic 3D-aware faces. However, their method lacks the controllability of the synthesized faces.

3 Method

In this section, we first give a brief introduction to our 3D face model and StyleGAN2. We then describe the individual modules and the overall training framework. Lastly, we discuss the loss functions.

3.1 Preliminary: 3D face model

In this paper, we employ the classic Basel Face Model (BFM) [19] and the expression basis of Guo et al. [7] as our 3D Morphable Model (3DMM). We use 177 values as our 3DMM coefficients \( \phi = (P_{id}, P_{exp}, \alpha, \gamma, \delta) \), where \( P_{id} \in \mathbb{R}^{80} \) and \( P_{exp} \in \mathbb{R}^{64} \) are the coefficients of 3DMM basis; \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the rotation of the face; \( \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{27} \) contains the illumination parameters; and \( \delta \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) represents the translation. The shape \( S_{3DMM} \) is expressed as follows:

\[
S_{3DMM}(P_{id}, P_{exp}) = \bar{S} + P_{id}B_{id} + P_{exp}B_{exp},
\]

where \( \bar{S} \) denotes the mean shape; and \( B_{id} \) and \( B_{exp} \) are the 3DMM basis.

3.2 Stylecode to 3DMM and multi-view coefficients

We describe in this section how we construct the modules that predict the 3DMM coefficients \( \phi \) and the multi-view coefficients \( (d, s_{yaw}) \) given the stylecode \( w \).

3.2.1 Stylecode to 3DMM coefficients

Our goal is to determine the mapping between the stylecode \( w \) and the 3DMM coefficients \( \phi \). First, we randomly select 36,000 stylecodes and feed them to StyleGAN2 to generate corresponding face images. For the \( i \)-th image generated by \( w_i \), we utilize a 3DMM coefficient fitting tool\(^1\) for obtaining its 3DMM coefficients \( \phi_i \). Using 36,000 pairs of \((w_i, \phi_i)\), we train a multi-layer perceptron (MLP) network, \( \phi = \Phi_{3D}(w) \), to predict the 3DMM coefficients \( \phi \) from the input stylecode \( w \). \( \Phi_{3D}(w) \) comprises two fully-connected multi-layer perceptrons, both containing three hidden layers with 9 \( \times \) 512, 6 \( \times \) 512, 3 \( \times \) 512 hidden units from the first
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\(^1\)The code can be obtained from https://github.com/ascust/3DMM-Fitting-Pytorch.
hidden layer to the last one. The first MLP uses Tanh as its inter-connected neurons’ activation function, while the second MLP uses ELU with $\alpha = 1.0$ instead. Concatenating the mapping results of these two parts forms the full 3DMM coefficients.

To acquire pairs of stylecodes and their corresponding 3DMM coefficients for training the network, we first synthesize face images corresponding to their stylecodes using the original StyleGAN2. We then employ an open source implementation\textsuperscript{2} to fit the 3DMM coefficients from the face images. The network is trained by minimizing the L1 distance between the predicted 3DMM coefficients and the fitted 3DMM coefficients. We use Adam optimizer with learning rate decay from $1 \times 10^{-5}$ to $1 \times 10^{-8}$. The training epochs and batch sizes are 22 and 16, respectively. Figure 1 provides some results. The mapping module faithfully predicts the 3DMM coefficients that match the corresponding StyleGAN2 image generated using a specific stylecode.

### 3.2.2 Stylecode to multi-view coefficients

Inspired by InterFaceGAN \cite{21, 22}, we aim to find a direction $d$ in the StyleGAN2 latent space so that moving a stylecode along $d$ generates the image of a face from different viewing angles. To determine $d$, we randomly sample 200K stylecodes. Then, we estimate their yaw angles using $\Phi_{3D}$. We pick the stylecodes with the highest 2% yaw angles as one class, and the stylecodes with the lowest 2% yaw angles as the other class. We solve this problem as a binary classification task using linear Support Vector Machine (SVM) \cite{5}. And we obtain $d$ for yaw angles by taking the normal to the decision hyperplane. With the obtained $d$, we can rotate a face by $w' = w + s_{yaw} \times d$, where $s_{yaw}$ denotes the step size we take along the yaw axis. For generating the face image at the yaw angle $\alpha$ using $w$, we train a “stylecode-to-yaw-angle” mapping to determine the corresponding step size: $s_{yaw} = \Phi_{yaw}(w, \alpha)$. We can then obtain the stylecode for the same person with the desired angle $\alpha$ using:

$$ w' = w + \Phi_{yaw}(w, \alpha) \times d $$

(2)

### 3.3 StyleGAN2 as a 3D generator

As shown in Figure 1, we can generate a textured 3D face model corresponding to the StyleGAN2-generated image using the same stylecode through $\Phi_{3D}$. However, the resulting faces directly generated from the mapped 3D coefficient lack many details and components compared to those generated by StyleGAN2. As an example, the eyeglasses are absent, as are the wrinkles and nasal lines (Figure 1). To recover details in an image generated by

\textsuperscript{2}https://github.com/ascust/3DMM-Fitting-Pytorch
StyleGAN2, we augment the 3DMM model with a diffuse map and a generalized displacement map; both are UV maps. The former captures the necessary color variations, whereas the latter captures geometric details of the face image generated by StyleGAN2.

Figure 2 illustrates the training process. To obtain the diffuse map and the generalized displacement map, we train a 3D generator. The 3D generator shares the architecture with the generator of StyleGAN2, but outputs the two required maps. During training, the stylecode $w$ is fed to a pre-trained $\Phi_{3D}$ (Section 3.2.1) as well as a pre-trained StyleGAN2 module in order to obtain the corresponding 3DMM parameters $\phi_w$ and the target images $I_{\text{target}}$. With the base face model, the 3DMM coefficients, and the two UV maps, we render the output image $I_{\text{render}}$ using a differentiable renderer. We train the network with a reconstruction loss between $I_{\text{target}}$ and $I_{\text{render}}$ in order to encourage the diffuse map and displacement map to capture the image details generated by StyleGAN2. To improve the fidelity of the generated maps, we include a pre-trained 2D discriminator from StyleGAN2 as well as a GAN loss.

As shown in Figure 3(c), using a single image to reconstruct the 3D model usually produces artifacts, especially on the other side of the face. In order to achieve multi-view consistency and to ensure the quality of the entire face, our method automatically generates a symmetric face image using StyleGAN2 and uses it as an additional constraint when train-
ing the 3D generator. As introduced in Section 3.2.2, given a stylecode $w$, we first obtain its corresponding step size $s_{\text{yaw}}$ using the “stylecode-to-yaw-angle” mapping. Following this, we apply Eq. 2 to obtain a symmetric stylecode $w^{\text{sym}}$ that corresponds to the original face under a symmetric viewing angle (abbreviated as “view angle shifting”). We feed the symmetric stylecode $w^{\text{sym}}$ into the 3D generator and compute the reconstruction loss between the rendered image $I^{\text{sym}}_{\text{render}}$ and the symmetric StyleGAN2 image $I^{\text{sym}}_{\text{target}}$ as well. Because the face rendered in the original perspective and its symmetric view provides reliable information in different regions, our approach utilizes the rendering gradients from the differentiable renderer to obtain a pixel-wise mask that weighs the effects of each view. Figure 3(d) gives examples of the masks. For both masks, the texels’ values are set to 1.0 if they are accessed by the renderer and to 0.0 otherwise. We override the values to 0.5 empirically for the symmetric view if the texels are also assessed by the original view. Through the integration of information from the two pairs of views and masks, our method is able to achieve geometric and texture consistency across the entire face. A detailed description of the mask generation can be found in the supplemental material.

3.4 Loss functions

We aim to create high-quality 3D face models with latent codes that are compatible with StyleGAN2. As a result, we design our loss functions on top of the original StyleGAN2 loss function with reconstruction loss. In order to synthesize the view-consistent diffuse map and displacement map of the face, we also consider multi-view consistency loss to utilize information from both views while training our generator and discriminator.

3.4.1 Reconstruction loss with weighted masks

Our reconstruction loss consists of photometric and perceptual losses:

$$L_{\text{rec}}(I_{\text{target}}, I_{\text{render}}, M_{\text{render}}) = L_{\text{photo}}(I_{\text{target}}, I_{\text{render}}, M_{\text{render}}) + \lambda_{\text{percept}} L_{\text{percept}}(I_{\text{target}}, I_{\text{render}}, M_{\text{render}}),$$

where $I_{\text{target}}$, $I_{\text{render}}$, and $M_{\text{render}}$ denote the target image, the rendered image, and the weighted mask of rendered face. $\lambda_{\text{percept}}$ denotes the weight to combine these two losses. The photometric loss encourages the generator to generate a proper diffuse map and displacement map so that the rendered image resembles the target image:

$$L_{\text{photo}}(I_{\text{target}}, I_{\text{render}}, M_{\text{render}}) = \frac{\|I_{\text{render}} - I_{\text{target}}\|_2^2}{|M_{\text{render}}|} \odot M_{\text{render}},$$

where $|M_{\text{render}}|$ denotes the number of valid pixels inside the $M_{\text{render}}$. We compute the perceptual loss using a pre-trained VGG-16 network.

3.4.2 GAN loss

Our GAN loss follows StyleGAN2’s configuration, which also uses a non-saturating loss with R1 regularization. The generator loss comes with a non-saturating loss without the regularization of path length. By employing the non-saturating loss, we are able to provide the generator with valid gradients at the early stage of training, which allows us to train our
model more easily in the beginning. With the reconstruction loss \( L_{\text{rec}} \), our full generator loss is
\[
L_{\text{G}}(I_{\text{target}}, I_{\text{render}}, M_{\text{render}}) = -E[\log D(I_{\text{render}})] + \lambda_{\text{rec}} L_{\text{rec}}(I_{\text{target}}, I_{\text{render}}, M_{\text{render}}),
\]
(6)
where \( D \) is the discriminator. Our discriminator loss consists of logistic loss term and R1 regularization term:
\[
L_{\text{D}}(I_{\text{target}}, I_{\text{render}}) = -E[\log D(I_{\text{target}})] - E[1 - \log D(I_{\text{render}})] + \frac{\gamma}{2} E[\|\nabla D\psi(x)\|^2_2],
\]
(7)
where \( x \) is the sampled image from StyleGAN2 output; \( \gamma \) is the hyperparameter; and \( \psi \) is the weight of the discriminator.

### 3.4.3 Multi-view consistency loss

To enforce multi-view consistency, we include the multi-view generator loss on both the original and symmetric views with a weight \( \lambda_{\text{mv}} \) to combine the information from both views:
\[
L_{\text{G}}^{\text{mv}} = L_{\text{G}}(I_{\text{ori target}}, I_{\text{render}}, M_{\text{render}}) + \lambda_{\text{mv}} L_{\text{G}}(I_{\text{sym target}}, I_{\text{render}}, M_{\text{render}}),
\]
(8)
where \( (I_{\text{ori target}}, I_{\text{sym target}}) \) denotes the target original and symmetric images; \( (I_{\text{ori render}}, I_{\text{sym render}}) \) denotes the rendered original and symmetric images; and \( (M_{\text{ori render}}, M_{\text{sym render}}) \) denotes the weighted masks of original and symmetric rendered faces. The multi-view discriminator loss is:
\[
L_{\text{D}}^{\text{mv}} = L_{\text{D}}(I_{\text{ori target}}, I_{\text{render}}) + \lambda_{\text{mv}} L_{\text{D}}(I_{\text{sym target}}, I_{\text{render}}).
\]
(9)

### 4 Experiments

#### 4.1 Implementation detail

We use the StyleGAN2 [13] pre-trained on the FFHQ dataset [12] with Pytorch reimplementation3 as our backbone. The training images along with their corresponding stylecodes are all generated by the pre-trained StyleGAN2, the resolution is \( 256 \times 256 \), and the number of images is 35,820. We use Adam [14] with learning rate set to 0.001 as the optimizers of both generator and discriminator, the number of training epochs and batch size are set to 15 and 12 respectively. The training hyperparameters \( \lambda_{\text{rec}}, \lambda_{\text{percept}}, \lambda_{\text{mv}}, \gamma \) are set to 10.0, 0.2, 0.75, 10.0, respectively. The ratio of training iterations between the generator and discriminator is set at 5 : 1. The differential rendering pipeline is implemented using Pytorch3D [20].

#### 4.2 Qualitative results

Figure 4 compares our method with other controllable and 3D-aware face synthesis methods based on StyleGAN2, including LiftedGAN [23], InterfaceGAN [22], StyleFlow [1], and EG3D [4]. We exclude StyleRig [24] from the comparison because its implementation is not available. Among all the compared methods, only our method and InterfaceGAN are compatible with StyleGAN2 and share the same latent space. As a result, it is not possible to compare the same person with other methods. We encourage readers to evaluate each

---

3 Source code is available at https://github.com/rosinality/stylegan2-pytorch.
Figure 4: Visual comparison of our generated faces with InterfaceGAN [21, 22], LiftedGAN [23], StyleFlow [1], EG3D [4] in different yaw angles. From left to right, the yaw degrees are -60°, -30°, -15°, 0°, 15°, 30°, 60°. Please note that LiftedGAN, StyleFlow, and EG3D use different latent spaces from the original StyleGAN2. Therefore, we are unable to compare the results using the same identity. Nevertheless, it is clear that LiftedGAN, StyleFlow, and EG3D all generate distorted results at large yaw angles. Our method generates more consistent results than others when varying the yaw angle.
generated face individually based on the quality and preservation of identity under large angle changes. Our method, in contrast to other methods that directly synthesize 2D images, creates a 3D face that is accompanied by two UV maps and 3DMM parameters. To compare our results with other methods, we render the 3D face at the specified view and paste the rendered face into the StyleGAN2 image. Consequently, some of our results exhibit seams at the face boundaries. They could be removed using advanced image compositing techniques. InterFaceGAN uses the Support Vector Machine (SVM) to train a binary classifier and takes the normal vector of the decision boundary in the latent space as a meaningful direction. Considering the entire process was conducted in 2D space, InterFaceGAN suffers from the loss of image content as the yaw angle increases, making its results less consistent. LiftedGAN utilizes the depth map as the shape representation and trains a couple of modules to render stably in various perspectives. Compared to InterFaceGAN, LiftedGAN maintains a more consistent image content with varying yaw angles. However, it suffers from severe image distortion as the yaw angle increases. StyleFlow achieves disentangled control over different style attributes, including gender, glasses, pose, lighting, and expression. Nevertheless, its results exhibit significant distortion at large yaw angles due to a limitation imposed by the latent space of StyleGAN. The recently proposed EG3D can better preserve shape consistency than InterfaceGAN, LiftedGAN, and StyleFlow; however, it also exhibits distortions when the yaw angle becomes large, especially around the eyes. As demonstrated in Figure 4, our method achieves superior results in terms of view consistency while preserving the quality of the face.

4.3 Quantitative results

To validate the performance of our generative model, we utilize the Frechet Inception Distance (FID) which quantifies the distance between two distributions of images. We use it to evaluate the generative power of our model by computing the distance between the distributions of the real-world data and our results. As our method is unable to synthesize the background, we paste our rendered image onto the original StyleGAN2 image for FID
Figure 6: The controllability of expressions and lighting. In each example, we show (a) the StyleGAN2 image and (b) the rendered image using our method. From (c)-(e), we show the generated 3D face with manipulated expressions (top row) and lighting (bottom row).

evaluation. The FID scores of our method, LiftedGAN [23], and StyleGAN2 [13] are 11.68, 29.81, and 12.57 respectively. We also use Masked FID, a metric that measures the distance between the distributions of the foreground-masked real-world image and the rendered results. On masked FID, our method achieves 11.94, which outperforms StyleGAN2’s 12.93.

4.4 Controllability

In light of the fact that our model has the same architecture as the StyleGAN2, the style-codes are compatible with interpolating smoothly in the latent space. Consequently, smooth interpolation in the latent space allows smooth transitions between textured 3D face models. Figure 5 illustrates the smooth transition between two stylecodes. Moreover, we can also edit other 3D features such as expression and illumination by adjusting the 3DMM coefficients and rendering parameters. Figure 6 demonstrates some examples.

5 Conclusions

We propose StyleFaceUV, a framework for generating controllable 3D face models by augmenting a pre-trained StyleGAN2 model with 3DMM face models, diffuse maps, and generalized displacement maps. With the 3DMM model as our base face representation, we can control the appearance of the generated face. Our framework also predicts an additional diffuse map and a generalized displacement map to improve the visual quality of generated faces. To maintain the multi-view consistency of the predicted maps, we introduce a novel multi-view consistency loss using weighted masks. Our experiments demonstrate that our method maintains multi-view consistency across face images while avoiding image distortions under large viewing angles.
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