

# **Mobile Vision Transformer-based** Visual Object Tracking



Goutam Yelluru Gopal and Maria Amer Concordia University, Montréal, Québec, Canada

# Introduction

#### **Motivation:**

- Vision Transformer-based trackers
  - are computationally expensive due to a large number of model parameters.
  - require specialized hardware for real-time inference.

| Tracker     | GOT10k       |                        | Track         | ingNet              | #params↓      | fps   |
|-------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|-------|
|             | $OR\uparrow$ | $SR_{0.50}$ $\uparrow$ | $AUC\uparrow$ | $P_{norm} \uparrow$ | (in millions) | CPU ↑ |
| DiMP-50     | 0.611        | 0.717                  | 74.0          | 80.1                | 26.1          | 15.0  |
| TransT      | 0.671        | 0.768                  | 81.2          | 85.4                | 23.0          | 2.3   |
| STARK-ST101 | 0.688        | 0.781                  | 82.0          | 86.9                | 47.2          | 7.8   |
| OSTrack-384 | 0.740        | 0.835                  | 83.9          | 88.5                | 92.1          | 4.4   |
| MixFormer-L | 0.756        | 0.857                  | 83.9          | 88.9                | 183.9         | < 5   |

# **Key Contributions**

#### **Mobile Vision Transformer-based backbone:**

- Cascade of Convolutional and Transformer blocks for feature extraction.
- Convolutional blocks model the spatially local information.
- Transformer blocks capture the long-range feature dependecies.

## **Feature fusion in tracker backbone:**

- Self-attention on the concatenated template and search region features.
- Exchange of information *within* and *between* the two regions.

## **High inference speed:**

- Joint feature extraction and fusion requires fewer attention operations.
- 175 *fps* on GPU and 29 *fps* on CPU (Pytorch).

#### • 300 fps on GPU (TensorRT) and 70 fps on CPU (ONNX Runtime).

# **Proposed Mobile Vision Transformer-based Tracker (MVT)**





#### **Proposed Backbone:**

- Cascaded MobileNetV2 (or MV2) and Siam-MoViT blocks for feature extraction.
- Siam-MoViT block fuses features from the two branches.

#### **Neck Module:**

• Cross-correlation between template and search region features.

#### **Head Module:**

• Two fully-convolutional branches for classification and bounding box regression.

### **Loss function for training:**

- Classification  $(L_{cls})$  and regression  $(L_1 \text{ and } L_{qiou})$  losses.
- Overall training loss,

 $L_{total} = L_{cls} + \lambda_1 \cdot L_1 + \lambda_2 \cdot L_{aiou}.$ 

## Results

#### **Implementation Details:**

# Analysis

#### **Ablation Study on Feature Fusion:**

- The template and search region dimensions are  $128 \times 128$  and  $256 \times 256$ .
- GOT10k-train dataset for training the model.
- Training for 100 epochs with a batch size of 128.
- The learning rate is set to  $4 \times 10^{-4}$  with cosine annealing as the scheduler.
- Initialization of our tracker backbone using pretrained MobileViT weights.
- During inference, we apply Hanning window on classification score map.

### **Comparison to Related Lightweight Trackers:**

| Tracker         | GOT10k (server) |                        |                        | TrackingNet (server) |                     |             | NfS30         |                | LaSOT         |                | fps   |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-------|
|                 | $OR\uparrow$    | $SR_{0.50}$ $\uparrow$ | $SR_{0.75}$ $\uparrow$ | $AUC\uparrow$        | $P_{norm} \uparrow$ | $P\uparrow$ | $AUC\uparrow$ | $FR\downarrow$ | $AUC\uparrow$ | $FR\downarrow$ | (GPU) |
| LightTrack      | 0.582           | 0.668                  | 0.442                  | 72.9                 | 79.3                | 69.9        | 0.582         | 0.146          | 0.524         | 0.116          | 99    |
| Stark-Lightning | 0.596           | 0.696                  | 0.479                  | 72.7                 | 77.9                | 67.4        | 0.619         | 0.111          | 0.585         | 0.151          | 205   |
| FEAR-XS         | 0.573           | 0.681                  | 0.455                  | 71.5                 | 80.5                | 69.9        | 0.487         | 0.207          | 0.508         | 0.273          | 275   |
| E.T.Track       | 0.566           | 0.646                  | 0.425                  | 74.0                 | 79.8                | 69.8        | 0.589         | 0.172          | 0.597         | 0.162          | 53    |
| MVT (ours)      | 0.633           | 0.742                  | 0.551                  | 74.8                 | 81.5                | 71.9        | 0.603         | 0.085          | 0.553         | 0.137          | 175   |

- *MVT* has the best performance on server-based GOT10k and TrackingNet.
- Overall, *MVT* outperforms the related trackers in 7 out of 10 metrics.

• We retrain our model without concatenating the template and search region features inside the proposed Siam-MoViT block.

| _ | feature fusion | GOT10k       |                        | Track         | ingNet              | NfS30         |                | LaSOT         |                |
|---|----------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
|   | in backbone    | $OR\uparrow$ | $SR_{0.50}$ $\uparrow$ | $AUC\uparrow$ | $P_{norm} \uparrow$ | $AUC\uparrow$ | $FR\downarrow$ | $AUC\uparrow$ | $FR\downarrow$ |
| _ | ×              | 0.600        | 0.703                  | 74.9          | 80.0                | 0.566         | 0.122          | 0.544         | 0.163          |
|   | ✓(ours)        | 0.633        | 0.742                  | 74.8          | 81.5                | 0.603         | 0.085          | 0.553         | 0.137          |

• Proposed feature fusion improves AUC and reduces FR on average.

## **Robustness Analysis:**

- We compare the *FR* of our *MVT* on attributes from the LaSOT dataset.
- *MVT* is robust to target deformation and appearance changes.
- MVT has a higher FR while tracking small, fast-moving target objects, e.g., volleyball.



#### **Comparison to State-of-the-art:**

| Tracker     | GOT10k       |                        | Track         | ingNet              | $\#$ params $\downarrow$ $fp$ |        | <i>PS</i> |
|-------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------|-----------|
|             | $OR\uparrow$ | $SR_{0.50}$ $\uparrow$ | $AUC\uparrow$ | $P_{norm} \uparrow$ | (in millions)                 | GPU ↑  | CPU ↑     |
| DiMP-50     | 0.611        | 0.717                  | 74.0          | 80.1                | 26.1                          | 61.5   | 15.0      |
| TransT      | 0.671        | 0.768                  | 81.2          | 85.4                | 23.0                          | 87.7   | 2.3       |
| STARK-ST101 | 0.688        | 0.781                  | 82.0          | 86.9                | 47.2                          | 80     | 7.8       |
| OSTrack-384 | 0.740        | 0.835                  | 83.9          | 88.5                | 92.1                          | 74.4   | 4.4       |
| MixFormer-L | 0.756        | 0.857                  | 83.9          | <b>88.9</b>         | 183.9                         | 45.2   | < 5       |
| MVT (ours)  | 0.633        | 0.742                  | 74.8          | 81.5                | 5.5                           | 175.0  | 29.4      |
|             |              |                        |               |                     |                               | (300*) | (70**)    |

#### **\*TensorRT**, **\*\*ONNX-Runtime**

- State-of-the-art: Deployment of transformers has improved the performance, but at the cost of lowered tracking speed.
- In contrast, our *MVT* surpasses DiMP-50 with  $4.7 \times$  fewer parameters while running at  $2.8 \times$  and  $2 \times$  its speed on GPU and CPU, respectively.

## Conclusion

- We proposed a tracker that uses Mobile Vision Transformer, for the first time.
- Our tracker performed better than the related lightweight trackers, especially on server-based GOT10k and TrackingNet datasets.
- *MVT* runs at 70 *fps* on CPU, faster than second-best Stark-Lightning (50 *fps*).
- **Future work:** Deployment on embedded devices (*e.g.*, smartphones).

**Project Webpage**:

**Tracker Code & Model** 

